

Littlewood–Paley decompositions and Besov spaces on Lie groups of polynomial growth

Giulia Furioli, Camillo Melzi and Alessandro Veneruso

Abstract

We introduce a Littlewood–Paley decomposition related to any sub-Laplacian on a Lie group G of polynomial volume growth; this allows us to prove a Littlewood–Paley theorem in this general setting and to provide a dyadic characterization of Besov spaces $B_p^{s,q}(G)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, equivalent to the classical definition through the heat kernel.

1 Introduction

Littlewood–Paley decompositions are a powerful tool in investigating deep properties of function spaces of distributions. Let us recall briefly the classical construction in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi = 1$ in $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$ and $\varphi = 0$ in $[1, \infty)$. Let $\psi(\lambda) = \varphi(\frac{\lambda}{4}) - \varphi(\lambda)$, so that $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{\frac{1}{4} \leq |\lambda| \leq 4\}$. We have the following partition of unity on the frequency space of the Fourier transform:

$$1 = \varphi(|\xi|^2) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi(2^{-2j}|\xi|^2), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This gives the identity in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\hat{u} = \varphi(|\cdot|^2)\hat{u} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi(2^{-2j}|\cdot|^2)\hat{u}, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and, denoting by S_0u e Δ_ju respectively

$$\widehat{S_0u} = \varphi(|\cdot|^2)\hat{u}, \quad \widehat{\Delta_ju} = \psi(2^{-2j}|\cdot|^2)\hat{u},$$

we obtain the Littlewood–Paley decomposition in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$(1) \quad u = S_0u + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Delta_ju, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The following fundamental theorem holds (see e.g. [St]):

The authors were partially supported by GNAMPA - Progetto “Calcolo funzionale per generatori di semi-gruppi ed analisi armonica su gruppi”, 2003.

Theorem 1 (Littlewood–Paley)

Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $S_0 u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_j u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover there exists a constant $C_p > 1$, which depends only on p , such that

$$C_p^{-1} \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|S_0 u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_j u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The proof of this theorem is based on the classical Hörmander–Mihlin L^p -multiplier theorem ([H]) and on the uniform estimates for the norms of the convolution operators Δ_j on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The main purpose of this paper is to prove a Littlewood–Paley theorem on Lie groups of polynomial volume growth, with respect to any sub-Laplacian.

In view of extending the previous construction to a general Lie group of polynomial growth it is more convenient to see the decomposition (1) in terms of multipliers of the Laplacian $\Delta = -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$. Starting from the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian

$$\Delta = \int_0^{\infty} \lambda dE_{\lambda}$$

and from the functions $\varphi, \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ previously introduced, we consider the multiplier operators

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\Delta) &= \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} \\ \psi(2^{-2j} \Delta) &= \int_0^{\infty} \psi(2^{-2j} \lambda) dE_{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have the identifications between operators

$$\varphi(\Delta)f = S_0 f, \quad \psi(2^{-2j} \Delta)f = \Delta_j f, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

If we denote by Ψ_j the convolution kernel of the operator $\psi(2^{-2j} \Delta)$, due to the dilation structure of $\mathbb{R}^n$ we have the scaling formula

$$(2) \quad \Psi_j(x) = 2^{nj} \Psi_0(2^j x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, j \in \mathbb{N}$$

that allows us to easily obtain the estimates for the norms of the operators Δ_j only from the operator Δ_0 . If we now consider a stratified Lie group G , endowed with its natural dilation structure, and if Δ is a sub-Laplacian on G invariant with respect to the family of dilations, the scaling formula (2) still holds, where n is the homogeneous dimension of G . In this case the way to prove a Littlewood–Paley theorem through a Hörmander–Mihlin multiplier theorem is based on classical techniques ([FS], [DM], [MM]). In the particular case of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_n$, we can deduce a Littlewood–Paley theorem also for the full Laplacian from the results by Müller, Ricci and Stein [MRS1], [MRS2]; but their techniques, based on the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{H}_n$, do not fit to the case of Lie groups of polynomial growth. Alexopoulos

in [A2] proved a Hörmander–Mihlin multiplier theorem for any sub-Laplacian in the general setting of Lie groups of polynomial growth; nevertheless, such result does not provide directly the uniform estimates for the norms of the operators Δ_j we need to deduce a Littlewood–Paley theorem.

The main result of this paper is Proposition 6, which allows us to deduce the uniform estimates for the norms of the operators Δ_j and to prove a Littlewood–Paley decomposition in $\mathcal{S}'(G)$ (Proposition 8) and a Littlewood–Paley theorem (Theorem 10). As an application of such decomposition, we finally provide a dyadic characterization of Besov spaces $B_p^{s,q}(G)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, equivalent to the classical definition through the heat kernel (Proposition 12).

We would like to thank Stefano Meda for several helpful discussions.

2 Notation and preliminaries

In this paper $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers, $\mathbb{Z}_+$ the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{R}_+$ the set of positive real numbers. For $p \in [1, \infty]$ we denote by p' the conjugate index of p , such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

In this section we recall some basic facts about Lie groups of polynomial growth. For the proofs and further information, see e.g. [VSC] and the references given therein.

Let G be a connected Lie group, and let us fix a left-invariant Haar measure dx on G . We will denote by $|A|$ the measure of a measurable subset A of G and by χ_A its characteristic function.

We assume that G has polynomial volume growth, i.e., if U is a compact neighbourhood of the identity element e of G , then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $|U^n| \leq Cn^C$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then G is unimodular. Furthermore, there exists $D \in \mathbb{N}$, which does not depend on U , such that

$$(3) \quad |U^n| \sim n^D \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For instance, every connected nilpotent Lie group has polynomial volume growth.

The convolution of two functions f and g on G is defined by

$$f * g(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x) dy, \quad x \in G$$

and satisfies the Young's inequality (where $1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$)

$$\|f * g\|_{L^r(G)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(G)} \|g\|_{L^q(G)}.$$

The space $\mathcal{D}(G)$ of test functions and the space $\mathcal{D}'(G)$ of distributions are defined in the usual way (see [E]). The convolution of $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$ is defined as usual:

$$(4) \quad \langle \varphi * u, \psi \rangle = \langle u, \check{\varphi} * \psi \rangle, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$$

where

$$\check{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x^{-1}), \quad x \in G.$$

Let $X_1, \dots, X_k$ be left-invariant vector fields on G which satisfy the Hörmander's condition, i.e. they generate, together with their successive Lie brackets $[X_{i_1}, [\dots, X_{i_\alpha}] \dots]$, the Lie algebra of G . For $I = (i_1, \dots, i_\beta) \in \{1, \dots, k\}^\beta$ ($\beta \in \mathbb{N}$) we put $|I| = \beta$ and $X^I = X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_\beta}$, with the convention that $X^I = id$ if $\beta = 0$.

To $X_1, \dots, X_k$ is associated, in a canonical way, the control distance ρ , which is left-invariant and compatible with the topology on G . For any $x \in G$ we put $|x| = \rho(e, x)$. The properties of ρ imply that $|xy| \leq |x| + |y|$ for any $x, y \in G$. Furthermore, for any $r > 0$ we put $V(r) = |B(e, r)|$ where $B(e, r) = \{x \in G : |x| < r\}$. By (3) we have

$$V(r) \sim r^D \quad \text{for } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$V(r) \sim r^d \quad \text{for } r \rightarrow 0.$$

These estimates imply the “doubling property”: there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$(5) \quad V(2r) \leq KV(r), \quad r > 0.$$

We consider the sub-Laplacian

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{j=1}^k X_j^2$$

which is a positive self-adjoint operator, having as domain of definition the space of all functions $f \in L^2(G)$ such that $\mathcal{L}f \in L^2(G)$. So, by the spectral theorem, for any bounded Borel function m on $[0, \infty)$ we can define the operator $m(\mathcal{L})$ which is bounded on $L^2(G)$. Since the point 0 may be neglected in the spectral resolution of $\mathcal{L}$ (see [C], [A2]), we consider that the function m is defined on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Furthermore, the operator $m(\mathcal{L})$ admits a kernel $M \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$ which satisfies $m(\mathcal{L})f = f * M$ for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. We recall the following well-known results:

Theorem 2 ([A2])

Put $N = 1 + \max\{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{D}{2} \rfloor\}$. If $m \in C^N(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^r |m^{(r)}(\lambda)| < \infty$ for any $r \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, then $m(\mathcal{L})$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Proposition 3

Let $\{m_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of bounded Borel functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$ which converges at every point to a bounded Borel function m . Suppose also that the sequence $\{\|m_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Then the sequence $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where M_n is the kernel of the operator $m_n(\mathcal{L})$, converges in $\mathcal{D}'(G)$ to the kernel M of the operator $m(\mathcal{L})$.

Proof: By the spectral theorem $m_n(\mathcal{L})f \rightarrow m(\mathcal{L})f$ in $L^2(G)$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $f \in L^2(G)$. In particular, $f * M_n \rightarrow f * M$ in $\mathcal{D}'(G)$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. By [DiM, Théorème 3.1] the function φ can be written as a finite sum $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^r \psi_j * \chi_j$ with ψ_j, χ_j in $\mathcal{D}(G)$. So by (4)

$$\langle M_n, \varphi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^r \langle \check{\psi}_j * M_n, \chi_j \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^r \langle \check{\psi}_j * M, \chi_j \rangle = \langle M, \varphi \rangle.$$

•

We introduce the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G)$ and its dual space $\mathcal{S}'(G)$ as in [S1],[S2]. The definition does not depend on $X_1, \dots, X_k$. However, a useful characterization of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is the following: a function $f \in C^\infty(G)$ is in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ if and only if all the seminorms

$$p_{\alpha,I}(f) = \sup_{x \in G} (1 + |x|)^\alpha |X^I f(x)|$$

($\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $I \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \{1, \dots, k\}^\beta$) are finite. The space $\mathcal{S}(G)$ endowed with this family of seminorms is a Fréchet space. It is easy to show that $\mathcal{S}(G) * \mathcal{S}(G) \subset \mathcal{S}(G) \subset L^p(G)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

The heat kernel p_t , i.e. the kernel of the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ ($t > 0$), is a positive C^∞ function which satisfies $\int_G p_t(x) dx = 1$. Moreover, for any $I \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \{1, \dots, k\}^\beta$ there exists $C > 0$ such that the following estimates hold:

$$(6) \quad p_t(x) \leq CV(\sqrt{t})^{-1} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{Ct}}, \quad x \in G, t > 0;$$

$$(7) \quad |X^I p_t(x)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{d+|I|}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{Ct}}, \quad x \in G, 0 < t \leq 1.$$

In particular, estimate (7) implies that $p_t \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. Since $p_{t_1+t_2} = p_{t_1} * p_{t_2}$ for any $t_1, t_2 > 0$, it follows that $p_t \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ for any $t > 0$. Furthermore, estimates (6) and (7) yield the following

Proposition 4

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $I \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \{1, \dots, k\}^\beta$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$ there exists $C > 0$ such that the following estimates hold:

$$(8) \quad \|(1 + |\cdot|)^\alpha p_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^\alpha V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{p'}}, \quad t > 0;$$

$$(9) \quad \|(1 + |\cdot|)^\alpha X^I p_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq Ct^{-(\frac{d}{2p'} + \frac{|I|}{2})}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Proof: In this proof we will denote by C a positive constant which will not be necessarily the same at each occurrence, with the convention that C can depend only on G and on α, I, p .

Fix $t > 0$. First we note that

$$(10) \quad \sup_{\rho \geq 0} (1 + \rho)^\alpha e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{Ct}} \leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^\alpha$$

as is easy to verify by calculating the maximum of the function $\rho \mapsto (1 + \rho)^\alpha e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{Ct}}$ in $[0, \infty)$. For $p = \infty$ estimates (8) and (9) follow directly by (10) and by (6) and (7), respectively. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we use the fact that

$$(11) \quad \int_G e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{Ct}} dx \leq CV(\sqrt{t})$$

(see [VSC, page 111]). So by (6), (10) and (11)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(1 + |\cdot|)^\alpha p_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} &\leq CV(\sqrt{t})^{-1} \left(\int_G (1 + |x|)^{\alpha p} e^{-\frac{p|x|^2}{Ct}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq CV(\sqrt{t})^{-1} \left(\sup_{x \in G} (1 + |x|)^{\alpha p} e^{-\frac{p|x|^2}{2Ct}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_G e^{-\frac{p|x|^2}{2Ct}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^\alpha V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{p'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So (8) is proved. The proof of (9) is analogous: it only uses (7) instead of (6). •

3 Weighted estimates and Schwartz kernels

In this section we prove some weighted L^p estimates for the kernel M_t of the operator $m(t\mathcal{L})$, $t > 0$, when m is a sufficiently regular function on $\mathbb{R}_+$. In the case where G is a stratified group and $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant with respect to the dilation structure of G , the relation between M_t and M_1 stated in [FS, Lemma 6.29] makes such estimates much easier to prove, since they follow directly from the corresponding estimates for M_1 ([FS, Lemmas 6.35 and 6.36], [DM, Lemma 3.1], [MM, Lemma 1.2]). In the general case the situation is more complicated and we have to use more sophisticated techniques due essentially to Alexopoulos. In particular, we will use the following lemma (see [A1], [A2] and the references given there):

Lemma 5

Fix $\delta > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $h \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support. Then there is an even function $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\text{supp } \hat{g} \subset [-\delta, \delta]$ and

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |h(\lambda) - h * g(\lambda)| \leq C\delta^{-n} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} |h^{(n)}(\sigma)|$$

where C is a positive constant which depends only on n but not on δ, h, g .

Throughout this section we will use the following notation: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $m \in C^n(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we put

$$\|m\|_{(n)} = \sup_{\substack{0 \leq r \leq n \\ \lambda > 0}} (1 + \lambda)^n |m^{(r)}(\lambda)|.$$

Moreover, the constants d and K are the same which have been introduced in Section 2.

Proposition 6

Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $I \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \{1, \dots, k\}^\beta$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$. There exist $C > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for any $m \in C^n(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\|m\|_{(n)} < \infty$ the kernel M_t of the operator $m(t\mathcal{L})$, $t > 0$, satisfies the following estimates:

$$(12) \quad \|(1 + |\cdot|)^\alpha M_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^\alpha V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \|m\|_{(n)}, \quad t > 0;$$

$$(13) \quad \|(1 + |\cdot|)^\alpha X^I M_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C t^{-(\frac{d}{2p'} + \frac{|I|}{2})} \|m\|_{(n)}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Remark: The case where $\alpha = |I| = 0$ and $p = 1$ is particularly interesting: it simply reads

$$\|M_t\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C\|m\|_{(n)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof: In this proof we will denote by C a positive constant which will not be necessarily the same at each occurrence, with the convention that C can depend only on G and on α, I, p . The proof consists of some steps.

Step 1. We prove (12) for $p = 1$, with the additional assumption that $m = 0$ in $[2, \infty)$. Fix $t > 0$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ which will be choosen later. We consider the function h_t on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$h_t(\sigma) = e^{t\sigma^2} m(t\sigma^2), \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By the assumptions on m we have

$$(14) \quad \|h_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq e^2 \|m\|_{(0)}.$$

Moreover

$$m(t\lambda) = e^{-t\lambda} h_t(\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

So by the spectral theorem

$$(15) \quad M_t = h_t(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})p_t \in L^2(G)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_t\|_{L^2(G)} &\leq \|h_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|p_t\|_{L^2(G)} \\ &\leq CV(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|m\|_{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

by (8) and (14). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| < \sqrt{t}} (1 + |x|)^\alpha |M_t(x)| dx &\leq \left(\int_{|x| < \sqrt{t}} (1 + |x|)^{2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{|x| < \sqrt{t}} |M_t(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq V(\sqrt{t})^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \sqrt{t})^\alpha \|M_t\|_{L^2(G)} \\ (16) \quad &\leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^\alpha \|m\|_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand it follows from (15) that

$$(17) \quad \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} (1 + |x|)^\alpha |M_t(x)| dx = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{A_{t,j}} (1 + |x|)^\alpha |M_{t,j}^{(1)}(x)| dx + \int_{A_{t,j}} (1 + |x|)^\alpha |M_{t,j}^{(2)}(x)| dx \right)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t,j} &= \{x \in G : 2^j \sqrt{t} \leq |x| < 2^{j+1} \sqrt{t}\}; \\ M_{t,j}^{(1)} &= h_t(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})(p_t \chi_{\{y \in G : |y| < 2^{j-1} \sqrt{t}\}}); \\ M_{t,j}^{(2)} &= h_t(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})(p_t \chi_{\{y \in G : |y| \geq 2^{j-1} \sqrt{t}\}}). \end{aligned}$$

For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $i = 1, 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_{t,j}} (1 + |x|)^\alpha |M_{t,j}^{(i)}(x)| dx &\leq \left(\int_{A_{t,j}} (1 + |x|)^{2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{A_{t,j}} |M_{t,j}^{(i)}(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq V(2^{j+1}\sqrt{t})^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + 2^{j+1}\sqrt{t})^\alpha \|M_{t,j}^{(i)}\|_{L^2(A_{t,j})}. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $(1 + 2^{j+1}\sqrt{t})^\alpha \leq C2^{j\alpha}(1 + \sqrt{t})^\alpha$ and the doubling property (5) imply

$$(18) \quad \int_{A_{t,j}} (1 + |x|)^\alpha |M_{t,j}^{(i)}(x)| dx \leq CK^{\frac{1}{2}} V(\sqrt{t})^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{j\alpha} (1 + \sqrt{t})^\alpha \|M_{t,j}^{(i)}\|_{L^2(A_{t,j})}.$$

In order to estimate $\|M_{t,j}^{(1)}\|_{L^2(A_{t,j})}$, first of all we suppose $n \geq 2$ and we note that

$$h_t(\sqrt{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{h}_t(s) \cos(s\sqrt{\lambda}) ds, \quad \lambda > 0$$

since h_t is an even function in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transform is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then for a.e. $x \in A_{t,j}$

$$(19) \quad M_{t,j}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{h}_t(s) \left(\cos(s\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})(p_t \chi_{\{y \in G: |y| < 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}\}}) \right) (x) ds.$$

Now we use the fact that the kernel G_s of the operator $\cos(s\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfies the following property (see [Me]):

$$\text{supp } G_s \subset \{y \in G : |y| \leq |s|\}.$$

So, for $x \in A_{t,j}$ and $|s| \leq 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}$ we have

$$(20) \quad \left(\cos(s\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})(p_t \chi_{\{y \in G: |y| < 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}\}}) \right) (x) = 0.$$

By Lemma 5 we can take an even function $g_{t,j} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\text{supp } \widehat{g_{t,j}} \subset [-2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}, 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}]$ and

$$(21) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |h_t(\lambda) - h_t * g_{t,j}(\lambda)| \leq C2^{-jn} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} |h_t^{(n)}(\sigma)|.$$

The support property of $\widehat{g_{t,j}}$ and property (20) imply that for a.e. $x \in A_{t,j}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{h}_t(s) \widehat{g_{t,j}}(s) \left(\cos(s\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})(p_t \chi_{\{y \in G: |y| < 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}\}}) \right) (x) ds = 0.$$

So formula (19) can be rewritten in the following way: for a.e. $x \in A_{t,j}$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{t,j}^{(1)}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\widehat{h}_t(s) - \widehat{h}_t(s) \widehat{g_{t,j}}(s) \right) \left(\cos(s\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})(p_t \chi_{\{y \in G: |y| < 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}\}}) \right) (x) ds \\ &= \left((h_t - h_t * g_{t,j})(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})(p_t \chi_{\{y \in G: |y| < 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}\}}) \right) (x) \end{aligned}$$

since $h_t - h_t * g_{t,j}$ is an even function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transform is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{t,j}^{(1)}\|_{L^2(A_{t,j})} &\leq \left\| (h_t - h_t * g_{t,j})(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})(p_t \chi_{\{y \in G: |y| < 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}\}}) \right\|_{L^2(G)} \\ &\leq \|h_t - h_t * g_{t,j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|p_t \chi_{\{y \in G: |y| < 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}\}}\|_{L^2(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply estimate (21) to the first factor and we estimate the second factor simply by (6) and (5), so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_t \chi_{\{y \in G: |y| < 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}\}}\|_{L^2(G)} &\leq CV(\sqrt{t})^{-1} V(2^{j-1}\sqrt{t})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq CK^{\frac{j}{2}} V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(22) \quad \|M_{t,j}^{(1)}\|_{L^2(A_{t,j})} \leq C 2^{-jn} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} K^{\frac{j}{2}} V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|h_t^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

We still have to estimate $\|h_t^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$. Note that

$$h_t^{(n)}(\sigma) = t^{\frac{n}{2}} h_1^{(n)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma), \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$h_1^{(n)}(\lambda) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{d^{n-r}}{d\lambda^{n-r}} \right) (e^{\lambda^2}) \left(\frac{d^r}{d\lambda^r} \right) (m(\lambda^2)), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

So

$$(23) \quad \|h_t^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = t^{\frac{n}{2}} \|h_1^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C t^{\frac{n}{2}} \|m\|_{(n)}.$$

Inequalities (22) and (23) give

$$(24) \quad \|M_{t,j}^{(1)}\|_{L^2(A_{t,j})} \leq C 2^{-jn} K^{\frac{j}{2}} V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|m\|_{(n)}.$$

Now we choose $n > \alpha + \log_2 K$. Then estimates (18) and (24) yield

$$(25) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{A_{t,j}} (1 + |x|)^\alpha |M_{t,j}^{(1)}(x)| dx \right) \leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^\alpha \|m\|_{(n)}.$$

In order to estimate $\|M_{t,j}^{(2)}\|_{L^2(A_{t,j})}$, first of all we use the properties of p_t to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| \geq 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}} (p_t(y))^2 dy &\leq \left(\sup_{|w| \geq 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}} p_t(w) \right) \int_G p_t(y) dy \\ &\leq CV(\sqrt{t})^{-1} e^{-\frac{2^{2j}}{C}} \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{t,j}^{(2)}\|_{L^2(A_{t,j})} &\leq \|M_{t,j}^{(2)}\|_{L^2(G)} \\ &\leq C \|p_t \chi_{\{y \in G: |y| \geq 2^{j-1}\sqrt{t}\}}\|_{L^2(G)} \|m\|_{(0)} \\ &\leq CV(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{2^{2j}}{C}} \|m\|_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate and estimate (18) yield

$$(26) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{A_{t,j}} (1 + |x|)^{\alpha} |M_{t,j}^{(2)}(x)| dx \right) \leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^{\alpha} \|m\|_{(0)}.$$

So both terms of the right-hand side of (17) can be estimated by (25) and (26), respectively. Thus, taking into account also (16), we obtain

$$(27) \quad \int_G (1 + |x|)^{\alpha} |M_t(x)| dx \leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^{\alpha} \|m\|_{(n)}.$$

Step 2. We prove (12) and (13) for any $p \in [1, \infty]$, with the additional assumption that $m = 0$ in $[2, \infty)$. Fix $t > 0$. We consider the function f on $\mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$f(\lambda) = e^{\lambda} m(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Then $\|f\|_{(n)} \leq C\|m\|_{(n)}$. Moreover $M_t = F_t * p_t$, where F_t the kernel of the operator $f(t\mathcal{L})$. So $M_t \in C^{\infty}(G)$ and $X^I M_t = F_t * X^I p_t$. Moreover, for every $x \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + |x|)^{\alpha} |X^I M_t(x)| \\ & \leq C \left(\int_G (1 + |y|)^{\alpha} |F_t(y)| |X^I p_t(y^{-1}x)| dy + \int_G |F_t(y)| (1 + |y^{-1}x|)^{\alpha} |X^I p_t(y^{-1}x)| dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{\alpha} X^I M_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \\ & \leq C \left(\|(1 + |\cdot|)^{\alpha} F_t(\cdot)\|_{L^1(G)} \|X^I p_t\|_{L^p(G)} + \|F_t\|_{L^1(G)} \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{\alpha} X^I p_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $|I| = 0$ we apply (8) and (27) to both terms of (28) and we obtain (12). If $0 < t \leq 1$ we apply (9) and (27) and we obtain (13).

Step 3. We prove (12) dropping the additional assumption on m . Fix $t > 0$ and a non-increasing function $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\varphi = 1$ in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\varphi = 0$ in $[1, \infty)$. Set

$$\psi(\lambda) = \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \varphi(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

So $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $\text{supp } \psi \subset [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$. Moreover we observe that

$$(29) \quad \varphi(\lambda) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi(2^{-j}\lambda) = 1, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

So

$$m(\lambda) = \tilde{m}(\lambda) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0$$

where

$$\tilde{m}(\lambda) = m(\lambda)\varphi(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0$$

and

$$m_j(\lambda) = m(\lambda)\psi(2^{-j}\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

By (29) we have $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |m_j| \leq |m|$. So, if we denote by $\tilde{M}_t$ the kernel of $\tilde{m}(t\mathcal{L})$ and by $M_{j,t}$ the kernel of $m_j(t\mathcal{L})$, by Proposition 3 we have

$$(30) \quad M_t = \tilde{M}_t + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{j,t} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(G).$$

We observe that

$$m_j(t\lambda) = h_j(2^{-j}t\lambda), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda > 0$$

where

$$(31) \quad h_j(\sigma) = m(2^j\sigma)\psi(\sigma), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma > 0.$$

Since $h_j = 0$ in $[2, \infty)$, by the previous steps

$$(32) \quad \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{\alpha} M_{j,t}(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C(1 + 2^{-\frac{j}{2}}\sqrt{t})^{\alpha} V(2^{-\frac{j}{2}}\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \|h_j\|_{(n)}.$$

We observe that the doubling property (5) implies

$$(33) \quad V(2^{-\frac{j}{2}}\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \leq K^{\frac{j}{2p'}} V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Moreover we estimate $\|h_j\|_{(n)}$ by means of (31): for $r \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$(34) \quad h_j^{(r)}(\sigma) = \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} 2^{jl} m^{(l)}(2^j\sigma) \psi^{(r-l)}(\sigma), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma > 0.$$

Fix an integer $n' > n + \frac{\log_2 K}{2p'}$. By (34), if $m \in C^{n'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\|m\|_{(n')} < \infty$ then

$$(35) \quad \|h_j\|_{(n)} \leq C 2^{j(n-n')} \|m\|_{(n')}.$$

It follows from (32), (34) and (35) that

$$(36) \quad \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{\alpha} M_{j,t}(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^{\alpha} V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{p'}} 2^{j(n-n' + \frac{\log_2 K}{2p'})} \|m\|_{(n')}.$$

On the other hand

$$(37) \quad \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{\alpha} \tilde{M}_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^{\alpha} V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \|m\|_{(n)}$$

since $\|\tilde{m}\|_{(n)} \leq C\|m\|_{(n)}$. By (30), (36) and (37) and by the assumption made on n' we obtain

$$\|(1 + |\cdot|)^{\alpha} M_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C(1 + \sqrt{t})^{\alpha} V(\sqrt{t})^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \|m\|_{(n')}.$$

Step 4. The proof of (13) without the additional assumption on m is analogous to Step 3: we observe that equality (30) implies

$$X^I M_t = X^I \tilde{M}_t + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} X^I M_{j,t} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(G)$$

and then we follow the proof of Step 3: we obtain

$$\|(1 + |\cdot|)^\alpha X^I M_t(\cdot)\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C t^{-(\frac{d}{2p'} + \frac{|I|}{2})} \|m\|_{(n'')}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1$$

where $n'' > n + \frac{d}{2p'} + \frac{|I|}{2}$. •

An immediate consequence of Proposition 6 is the following result, which also generalizes the analogous result for stratified groups (see [Hu], [M]):

Corollary 7

Let m be the restriction on $\mathbb{R}_+$ of a function in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the kernel M of the operator $m(\mathcal{L})$ is in $\mathcal{S}(G)$.

4 Littlewood–Paley decomposition

Fix a non-increasing function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\varphi = 1$ in $(0, \frac{1}{4})$ and $\varphi = 0$ in $[1, \infty)$. Set

$$\psi(\lambda) = \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) - \varphi(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

So $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $\text{supp } \psi \subset [\frac{1}{4}, 4]$. Moreover we observe that

$$(38) \quad \varphi(\lambda) + \sum_{j=0}^N \psi(2^{-2j}\lambda) = \varphi(2^{-2(N+1)}\lambda), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda > 0$$

and so

$$\varphi(\lambda) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi(2^{-2j}\lambda) = 1, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

By Corollary 7, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the kernels of the operators $S_j = \varphi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})$ and $\Delta_j = \psi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})$ are in $\mathcal{S}(G)$, so the operators S_j and Δ_j can be viewed as continuous operators on $\mathcal{S}'(G)$. By the spectral theorem, any $f \in L^2(G)$ can be decomposed as $f = S_0 f + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Delta_j f$ in $L^2(G)$. The following proposition shows that such decomposition holds also in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ and in $\mathcal{S}'(G)$.

Proposition 8

For any $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(G)$ we have:

$$(39) \quad f = S_0 f + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Delta_j f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}(G);$$

$$(40) \quad u = S_0 u + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Delta_j u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(G).$$

Proof: We only have to prove (39), since (40) follows by duality. By (38) we have to prove that $S_j f \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ for $j \rightarrow \infty$. So we fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \{1, \dots, k\}^\beta$ and we want to

prove that $p_{\alpha,I}(f - S_j f) \rightarrow 0$ for $j \rightarrow \infty$. Put $N = \max\{n, 1 + \frac{|I|}{2}\}$, where n is the integer which appears in Proposition 6 in the case $p = 1$. Then

$$(41) \quad f - S_j f = 2^{-2jN} m(2^{-2j} \mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}^N f, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

where

$$(42) \quad m(\lambda) = \frac{1 - \varphi(\lambda)}{\lambda^N}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Let M_j be the kernel of the operator $m(2^{-2j} \mathcal{L})$. Since by (42) $m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\|m\|_{(N)} < \infty$, Proposition 6 gives

$$(43) \quad \|(1 + |\cdot|)^\alpha X^I M_j(\cdot)\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C 2^{j|I|}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

where C does not depend on j . Then, by (41) and (43) and since $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, for every $x \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + |x|)^\alpha |X^I(f - S_j f)(x)| \\ &= 2^{-2jN} (1 + |x|)^\alpha |(\mathcal{L}^N f * X^I M_j)(x)| \\ &\leq 2^{-2jN} \left(\int_G (1 + |y|)^\alpha |\mathcal{L}^N f(y)| |X^I M_j(y^{-1}x)| dy + \int_G |\mathcal{L}^N f(y)| (1 + |y^{-1}x|)^\alpha |X^I M_j(y^{-1}x)| dy \right) \\ &\leq C' 2^{j(|I| - 2N)} \end{aligned}$$

where C' does not depend on j or x . Since $2N > |I|$, we have that $p_{\alpha,I}(f - S_j f) \rightarrow 0$ for $j \rightarrow \infty$. $\bullet$

Remark: For $1 \leq p < \infty$, since $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is dense in $L^p(G)$ and the operators Δ_j are uniformly bounded on $L^p(G)$ by Proposition 6, it follows from (39) that any $f \in L^p(G)$ can be decomposed as $f = S_0 f + \sum_{j=0}^\infty \Delta_j f$ in $L^p(G)$.

One gets from Proposition 6 an extension of the classical Bernstein's inequalities for the dyadic blocks (see e.g. [P], [T1]; see also [L, Proposition 3.2]). In what follows, d is the local dimension of the group introduced in Section 2.

Proposition 9

For $I \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}} \{1, \dots, k\}^\beta$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(G)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|X^I(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})^\sigma S_j u\|_{L^q(G)} &\leq C 2^{j(|I| + \sigma + d(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}))} \|S_j u\|_{L^p(G)}, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \\ \|X^I(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})^\sigma \Delta_j u\|_{L^q(G)} &\leq C 2^{j(|I| + \sigma + d(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}))} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p(G)}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant which depends only on I, p, q, σ but not on j or u .

Proof: We can consider the functions $\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) = \varphi(\frac{\lambda}{4})$ and $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) = \varphi(\frac{\lambda}{16}) - \varphi(4\lambda)$, so that $\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda)\varphi(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)\psi(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda > 0$. The proof of the proposition follows therefore from Young's inequality, Proposition 6 and the identities:

$$\begin{aligned} S_j u &= \tilde{\varphi}(2^{-2j} \mathcal{L}) S_j u; \\ \Delta_j u &= \tilde{\psi}(2^{-2j} \mathcal{L}) \Delta_j u. \end{aligned}$$

•

We are now in position to set out the Littlewood–Paley theorem related to the decomposition (40):

Theorem 10

Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(G)$. Then $u \in L^p(G)$ if and only if $S_0 u \in L^p(G)$ and $\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_j u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^p(G)$. Moreover there exists a constant $C_p > 1$, which depends only on p , such that

$$C_p^{-1} \|u\|_{L^p(G)} \leq \|S_0 u\|_{L^p(G)} + \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_j u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C_p \|u\|_{L^p(G)}, \quad u \in L^p(G).$$

Proof: Once we have Theorem 2 and equality (40), the proof of the Littlewood–Paley theorem in $\mathbb{R}^n$ given for instance in [St] works also in our case. •

5 Besov spaces

Besov spaces $B_p^{s,q}(G)$ for sub-Laplacians in Lie groups were studied by many authors. The usual definition ([F], [S]) has been given by means of the heat kernel associated to the sub-Laplacian. Though in [S], in the case of stratified groups, such spaces are defined with $s \in \mathbb{R}$, most applications (see e.g. [CS], [Sa], [MV]) have concerned essentially the case $s > 0$ where $B_p^{s,q}(G) \subset L^p(G)$. In the Euclidean case there are many equivalent characterizations of Besov spaces: a useful reference is given by the books by Triebel [T1], [T2]. In particular, the characterizations by the atomic decomposition in the space variable ([FJ]) and by the dyadic decomposition of the frequency space of the Fourier transform ([P]) are often used in the applications. In the case of unimodular Lie groups, Skrzypczak in [S2] has given an atomic characterization of Besov spaces with $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In a more abstract setting, Galé in [G, Hipótesis ($\mathcal{R}_\alpha$)] has determined a sufficient condition for a positive self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space which allows to characterize the corresponding Besov spaces with $s > 0$ by a dyadic decomposition on the spectrum of the operator. In our setting, Galé’s condition is equivalent to the uniform boundedness of the norms of the operators $\Delta_j : L^p(G) \longrightarrow L^p(G)$, which amounts to the uniform estimate of the norm in $L^1(G)$ of the convolution kernel of Δ_j . This is precisely what was pointed out in the remark after Proposition 6.

In this section, we define on a Lie group of polynomial growth Besov spaces with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ associated to any sub-Laplacian by means of the Littlewood–Paley decomposition obtained in Section 4.

Definition 11

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. We define

$$B_p^{s,q}(G) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(G) : \|u\|_{B_p^{s,q}(G)} = \|S_0 u\|_{L^p(G)} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p(G)})^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}$$

with obvious modifications in the case $q = \infty$.

This definition is equivalent to the classical one through the heat kernel. In fact, once we have Proposition 6 and the decomposition (40), we can repeat the proof given for instance in [L, Theorem 5.3] in the Euclidean setting and we obtain the following

Proposition 12

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $m \geq 0$ such that $m > s$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(G)$, the following assertions are equivalent:

i) $u \in B_p^{s,q}(G)$;

ii) for all $t > 0$, $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}u \in L^p(G)$ and $\int_0^1 (t^{-s/2} \|(t\mathcal{L})^{m/2} e^{-t\mathcal{L}}u\|_{L^p(G)})^q \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$.

Moreover, the norms $\|e^{-\mathcal{L}}u\|_{L^p(G)} + (\int_0^1 (t^{-s/2} \|(t\mathcal{L})^{m/2} e^{-t\mathcal{L}}u\|_{L^p(G)})^q \frac{dt}{t})^{1/q}$ and $\|u\|_{B_p^{s,q}(G)}$ are equivalent.

Remark: If $s > 0$, one can replace the condition $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}u \in L^p(G)$ by the equivalent $u \in L^p(G)$; in fact $B_p^{s,q}(G) \subset B_p^{0,1}(G) \subset L^p(G)$. Furthermore, if $s > 0$ one can replace the condition $\int_0^1 (t^{-s/2} \|(t\mathcal{L})^{m/2} e^{-t\mathcal{L}}u\|_{L^p(G)})^q \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$ by the equivalent $\int_0^\infty (t^{-s/2} \|(t\mathcal{L})^{m/2} e^{-t\mathcal{L}}u\|_{L^p(G)})^q \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$, due to the convergence of the integral at infinity.

References

- [A1] ALEXOPOULOS, G., Oscillating multipliers on Lie groups and Riemannian manifolds, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **46** (1994), 457–468.
- [A2] ALEXOPOULOS, G., Spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994), 973–979.
- [C] CHRIST, M., L^p bounds for spectral multipliers on nilpotent groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **328** (1991), 73–81.
- [CS] COULHON, T. and SALOFF-COSTE, L., Semi-groupes d'opérateurs et espaces fonctionnels sur les groupes de Lie, *J. Approx. Theory* **65** (1991), 176–199.
- [DM] DE MICHELE, L. and MAUCERI, G., H^p multipliers on stratified groups, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **148** (1987), 353–366.
- [DiM] DIXMIER, J. and MALLIAVIN, P., Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indéfiniment différentiables, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **102** (1978), 305–330.
- [E] EHRENPREIS, L., Some properties of distributions on Lie groups, *Pacific J. Math.* **6** (1956), 591–605.
- [F] FOLLAND, G. B., Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups, *Ark. Mat.* **13** (1975), 161–207.

- [FS] FOLLAND, G. B. and STEIN, E. M., *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Math. Notes **28**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1982.
- [FJ] FRAZIER, M. and JAWERTH, B., Decomposition of Besov spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **34** (1985), 777–799.
- [G] GALÉ, J. E., Sobre espacios de Besov definidos por medias de Riesz, in *Margarita Mathematica en memoria de José Javier (Chicho) Guadalupe Hernández*, pp. 235–246, Univ. La Rioja, Logroño, 2001.
- [H] HÖRMANDER, L., Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), 93–140.
- [Hu] HULANICKI, A., A functional calculus for Rockland operators on nilpotent Lie groups, *Studia Math.* **78** (1984), 253–266.
- [L] LEMARIÉ-RIEUSSET, P. G., *Recent developments in the Navier–Stokes problem*, Chapman & Hall /CRC Research Notes in Math. **431**, Chapman & Hall /CRC, Boca Raton, 2002.
- [M] MAUCERI, G., Maximal operators and Riesz means on stratified groups, in *Sympos. Math.* **29**, pp. 47–62, Academic Press, New York, 1987.
- [MM] MAUCERI, G. and MEDA, S., Vector-valued multipliers on stratified groups, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **6** (1990), 141–154.
- [Me] MELROSE, R., Propagation for the wave group of a positive subelliptic second-order differential operator, in *Hyperbolic equations and related topics (Katata/Kyoto 1984)*, pp. 181–192, Academic Press, Boston, 1986.
- [MRS1] MÜLLER, D., RICCI, F. and STEIN, E. M., Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups, I, *Invent. Math.* **119** (1995), 199–233.
- [MRS2] MÜLLER, D., RICCI, F. and STEIN, E. M., Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups, II, *Math. Z.* **221** (1996), 267–291.
- [MV] MUSTAPHA, S. and VAROPOULOS, N. TH., Comparaison Hölderienne des distances sous-elliptiques et calcul $S(m, g)$, *Potential Anal.* **4** (1995), 415–428.
- [P] PEETRE, J., *New thoughts on Besov spaces*, Duke Univ. Math. Series **1**, Duke University, Durham, 1976.
- [S] SAKA, K., Besov spaces and Sobolev spaces on a nilpotent Lie group, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **31** (1979), 383–437.
- [Sa] SALOFF-COSTE, L., Analyse sur les groupes de Lie à croissance polynômiale, *Ark. Mat.* **28** (1990), 315–331.

- [S1] SKRZYPCZAK, L., Atomic decompositions on manifolds with bounded geometry, *Forum Math.* **10** (1998), 19–38.
- [S2] SKRZYPCZAK, L., Besov spaces and Hausdorff dimension for some Carnot–Carathéodory metric spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **54** (2002), 1280–1304.
- [St] STEIN, E. M., *Harmonic analysis*, Princeton Math. Series **43**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [T1] TRIEBEL, H., *Theory of function spaces*, Monogr. in Math. **78**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.
- [T2] TRIEBEL, H., *Theory of function spaces II*, Monogr. in Math. **84**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [VSC] VAROPOULOS, N. TH., SALOFF-COSTE, L. and COULHON, TH., *Analysis and geometry on groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **100**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA GESTIONALE E DELL'INFORMAZIONE, UNIVERSITÀ DI BERGAMO,
 VIALE MARCONI 5, I-24044 DALMINE (BG), ITALY
E-mail: `gfurioli@unibg.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE CHIMICHE, FISICHE E MATEMATICHE, UNIVERSITÀ DELL'INSUBRIA,
 VIA VALLEGGIO 11, I-22100 COMO, ITALY
E-mail: `melzi@uninsubria.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI GENOVA,
 VIA DODECANESO 35, I-16146 GENOVA, ITALY
E-mail: `veneruso@dimat.unige.it`